

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,596	03/19/2004	Daniel L. W. Chieng	ELAN-01187US1	1464
23910 FLJESLER MI	7590 08/05/200 EYER LLP	EXAMINER		
650 CALIFOR	NIA STREET	YAARY, MICHAEL D		
14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			00.005.00000	EL FORDONIO

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OFFICEACTIONS@FDML.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/805,596	CHIENG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL YAARY	2193		

	MICHAEL YAARY	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C pendots:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	of for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  If Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonoion foo				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension set have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for be under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	cplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.5-12.15.16 and 19-29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the date of fling a bla	tion of Annualill not	the entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Applicant's arguments filed 06/16/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that A) Suzuki does not perform the step of "storing a plurality of independent sets of filter coefficients in memory, wherein each set of filter coefficients defines a different polyphase filter function...," as in claim 1; and B) Suzuki is not "selecting one of the sets of filter coefficients."

As to argument A) examiner respectfully disagrees. Suzuki as cited in the rejection teaches the stored sets of filter coefficients as recited in the claims. Furthermore, in Suzuki, column 3, lines 5-20 and column 8, lines 14-51 disclose linear phase characteristics of the coefficients employed for the input and output waveshapes. As such it is described that the linear phase characteristics provide "phases" of input and output waveshapes of a filter that result in an output waveshape free from distortion. This results in filtering digital tone signals for a plurality of phases. Thus, when given the broadest reasonable interpretation, this includes solvphase functions as well crucions as well.

As to argument B) examine respectfully disagrees. When given the broadest reasonable interpretation the Suzuki reference teaches the claimed limitation. As recited in the rejection, (Suzuki, column 23, lines 31-51) Suzuki teaches sets of filter octeins prepared for corresponding to several orders of key touch. Thus, there is a at least one set of coefficients to be selected for interpolation; and when qiven the broadest reasonable interpretation reads on the claimed limitation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL YAARY whose telephone number is (571)270-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9 a.m.-5:30 p.m. if attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock can be reached on 571-272-3759. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see hit/pripair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 865-271-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 2193